Ion-Irradiation-Driven Defect Engineering and Metallization in VO_2 Thin Films

Vijay Raj Singh

Department of Physics, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya, 824236, India

Strongly correlated transition metal oxides exhibit a wide range of intriguing physical phenomena, including high-temperature superconductivity, colossal magnetoresistance (CMR), and metal–insulator transitions (MIT) [1–3]. Among these, the MIT is one of the most fascinating and extensively studied phenomena due to its sensitivity to external stimuli such as temperature, strain, defects, and carrier concentration. Morin first observed a reversible, temperature-driven MIT in several titanium- and vanadium-based oxides, highlighting the role of electron correlation and lattice distortion in these systems [4]. Among the transition metal oxides exhibiting MIT, vanadium dioxide (VO_2) has attracted considerable attention owing to its transition temperature ($T_n \approx 340$ K), which lies close to room temperature, and its dramatic change in electrical resistivity spanning approximately three to five orders of magnitude across the transition. These unique properties make VO_2 a promising candidate for both fundamental studies and technological applications, particularly in electronic and optoelectronic devices.

Ion irradiation has emerged as an effective and controllable approach for tailoring the physical properties of materials in a reproducible manner. During ion irradiation, materials may undergo a variety of modifications, including defect generation, phase transformation, atomic displacement, deep ion implantation, amorphization, surface modification, and macroscopic deformation [5-6]. The density of irradiation-induced defects generally increases with ion fluence, leading to pronounced changes in the structural, optical, electrical, and magnetic properties, as well as in the surface morphology of thin films [7]. Consequently, understanding the influence of ion-induced defects on the MIT and electrical transport properties of VO_2 thin films is of significant importance for device-oriented applications. Several studies have reported ion-irradiation-induced modifications in VO_2 thin films. For instance, thermoelectric properties of ion-irradiated VO_2 have been investigated [8,9], while Gupta *et al.* demonstrated controlled tuning of the semiconductor-to-metal transition in Au-ion-irradiated VO_2 thin films [10]. Similarly, Khan *et al.* reported enhanced electrical conductivity in the insulating phase of VO_2 thin films following Ag^{9+} ion irradiation [11].

In this work, we systematically investigate the effect of 150 keV Fe and Ni ion irradiation on the structural, electronic, and transport properties of VO_2 thin films. The films were deposited by pulsed laser deposition on r-cut sapphire ($1\bar{1}02$) substrates. Ion irradiation was performed over a fluence range of 1×10^{14} to 1×10^{16} ions/cm^2. Structural characterization using grazing-incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD) and Raman spectroscopy confirms the retention of the monoclinic phase of VO_2 after irradiation. However, temperature-dependent resistivity (R–T) and Hall effect measurements reveal a systematic reduction in the MIT temperature along with a significant enhancement in electrical conductivity with increasing ion fluence. Analysis of the carrier concentration supports the observed transport behavior. Furthermore, synchrotron-based X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements reveal the

presence of mixed vanadium oxidation states (V^{4+} and V^{5+}) induced by ion irradiation. Photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) measurements provide direct evidence of irradiation-induced metallization in VO_2, which is fully consistent with the transport results obtained from R–T measurements.

REFERENCES

1. I.M. Miron, K. Garello, G. Gaudin, P.J. Zermatten, M. V Costache, S. Auffret, S. Bandiera, B. Rodmacq, A. Schuhl, P. Gambardella, Nature 476, 189–194 (2011).
2. H.Y. Hwang, Y. Iwasa, M. Kawasaki, B. Keimer, N. Nagaosa, Y. Tokura, Nat. Mater. 11, 103–113 (2012).
3. V. Jovic, A.J.E. Rettie, V.R. Singh, J. Zhou, B. Lamoureux, C. Mullins, H. Bluhm, J. Laverock, K.E. Smith, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 18, 31958–31965 (2016).
4. F.J. Morin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 34–36 (1959).
5. H. Thomas, S. Thomas, R.V. Ramanujan, D.K. Avasthi, I.A. Al-Omari, S. Al-Harthi, M.R. Anantharaman, Phys. Res. Sect. B: Beam Interact. Mater. Atoms 287, 85–90 (2012).
6. R. Nongjai, R. Samad, V.R. Singh, V.K. Verma, A. Kandasami, J. Magn. Magn Mater. 527, 167703 (2021).
7. R. Dawn, M. Zzaman, R.R. Bharadwaj, C. Kiran, R. Shahid, V.K. Verma, S.K. Sahoo, K. Amemiya, V.R. Singh, J. Sol. Gel Sci. Technol. 99, 461–468 (2021).
8. C.N. Berglund, H.J. Guggenheim, Phys. Rev. 185, 1022 (1969).
9. T. Katase, K. Endo, H. Ohta, Phys. Rev. B 90, 161105 (2014).
10. A. Gupta, R. Singhal, J. Narayan, D.K. Avasthi, J. Mater. Res. 26, 2901 (2011).
11. G.R. Khan, A. Kandasami, B.A. Bhat, Radiat. Phys. Chem. 123, 55–62 (2016).